

Real Science vs Political Science

The solution to the conflicts and confusion concerning our energy options is amazingly simple:

Our energy policies must be based on **real Science** — *not* politics (i.e. political science).

For example:

Political science advocates for wind and solar.

Genuine Science exposes wind and solar as frivolous.

For example:

Political science dismisses nuclear.

Genuine Science supports nuclear (esp SMRs).

For example:

Political science opposes all fossil fuels.

Genuine Science supports judicious use of fossil fuels (esp natural gas).

In other words Genuine Science and Political Science are almost always the *direct opposite* of each other!

Taking the *political science* route — when it is in direct contradiction to what *real Science* says — is a recipe for disaster.

EVERY aspect of Science is under an insidious coordinated assault! Even a casual observer should be able to see the profound consequences of:

- a) a major effort (e.g. via [PNS](#)) to remove Science as a gatekeeper for major technical societal issues (e.g. AGW),
- b) the widespread, purposeful corruptions of the peer-review process,
- c) scientists (who are professionally committed to objective, factual analysis) instead becoming promoters of undeclared political agendas,
- d) respected major scientific organizations reverting to polls to advocate positions (as *vs* empirical evidence),
- e) not a single scientific organization has gone on record to oppose false solutions to major matters like AGW (e.g. that there is zero Scientific proof that wind energy saves any consequential CO₂),
- f) in schools soft sciences (e.g. ecology) becoming heavily politically propagandized (despite the fact that Science is supposed to be apolitical),
- g) etc., etc., etc., etc.

There is not a single aspect of what is going on in Science where Conservatives are winning.

IMO the bigger picture is that:

- 1 - certain parties have a political agenda (e.g. to bring America down)
- 2 - achieving that agenda involves enacting some technical policies (e.g. promoting wind energy)
- 3 - traditional Science is a barrier to those policies (e.g. wind energy is expensive, unreliable, etc.)
- 4 - their solution is to dilute and distort real Science so that legislators and citizens are confused as to what Science actually is, for example:
 - a) by alternatives such as [Post Normal Science](#) (PNS),
 - b) by engaging with scientists who have abdicated their professional commitments, to promote their own agendas,
 - c) such “scientists” believe that the end justifies the means, so they will advance phony studies (e.g. that are not reproducible),
 - d) by [controlling the vernacular](#) to confuse a technically-challenged public,
 - e) by flooding the media with political science misdirections to further confuse a technically-challenged public
 - f) etc.
- 5 - once legislators and citizens are sufficiently confused, these parties introduce pseudoscience claims as being real Science,
- 6 - their unscientific policies then become accepted (e.g. 29 states have a wind energy mandate),
- 7 - their policies undermine our societal construct (and national security),
- 8 - they then take over to promote their end agenda: socialism, which morphs into communism.

The point is that Science is one of our key gatekeepers – and that is why it is being systematically attacked, on multiple fronts.

John Droz, jr.
physicist
North Carolina

2-4-20